GOALS of Effects of Renal Disease on Pharmacokinetics Lecture

A. Dose Adjustment in patients with renal Impairment
B. Effect of Renal Disease on:
   - Renal Drug Elimination
   - Hepatic Drug Metabolism
   - Drug Transporters
   - Drug Distribution
   - Drug Absorption
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Pharmacokinetics in Renal Disease

*Dose Adjustments in Patients with Renal Impairment:*
*Statement of the Problem*

How is renal function assessed?

How is drug dose adjusted based on this assessment?
**STEADY STATE CONCENTRATION**

Continuous Infusion:

\[ C_{ss} = \frac{I}{CL_E} \]

Intermittent Dosing:

\[ \bar{C}_{ss} = \frac{DOSE}{\tau} \cdot \frac{1}{CL_E} \]
PATHOPHYSIOLOGIC FACTORS NOT ACCOUNTED FOR IN DRUG DOSING*

* Lesar TS, Briceland L, Stein DS. JAMA 1997;277:312-7.
Central Role of *DRUG LABEL*

The *DRUG LABEL* is the primary source of drug prescribing information and is reviewed by the FDA as part of the drug approval process.

As such the drug label is *a distillate of the entire drug development process.*
### INFORMATION CONTENT OF DRUG LABELS*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CORE INFORMATION CATEGORY</th>
<th>Inclusion of Desirable Data Elements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MECHANISM OF ACTION</strong></td>
<td>88%  (84% - 93%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHARMACODYNAMICS</strong></td>
<td>43%  (37% - 49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DRUG METABOLISM</strong></td>
<td>23%  (16% - 29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHARMACOKINETICS</strong></td>
<td>42%  (35% - 49%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOSE ADJUSTMENT</strong></td>
<td>37%  (32% - 42%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Renal Dosing” Data in NDAs

• FDA survey of NDAs (2003-2007)

  57% of NDAs included data from studies in patients with *renal impairment*.

  44% of those with renal data included *hemodialysis* information.

  41% of those with renal data included *dose adjustment* recommendations.

Pharmacokinetics in Renal Disease

- **DOSE ADJUSTMENT** in Patients with Renal Impairment
  
  - Statement of the Problem
  
  - *How is renal function assessed?*
  
  - How is drug dose adjusted based on this assessment?
CLEARANCE TECHNIQUES FOR ASSESSING RENAL FUNCTION

GLOMERULAR FILTRATION:
Normal: 120 – 130 mL/min/1.73 m²

CLEARANCE MARKERS:
Inulin
Creatinine
¹²⁵I-Iothalamate

RENAL BLOOD FLOW:
Normal: ♂ 1,209 ± 256 mL/min/1.73 m²
♀ 982 ± 184 mL/min/1.73 m²

CLEARANCE MARKER:
Para-Aminohippuric Acid
Pharmacokinetics in Renal Disease

- EFFECT OF RENAL DISEASE ON RENAL DRUG ELIMINATION
  - MECHANISMS OF RENAL DRUG ELIMINATION
  - CONCEPT OF RESTRICTIVE VS. NONRESTRICTIVE ELIMINATION
MECHANISMS of Renal Drug Elimination

- Glomerular Filtration
- Renal Tubular Secretion
- Reabsorption by Non-Ionic Diffusion
- Active Reabsorption
MECHANISMS OF RENAL ELIMINATION

GLOMERULAR FILTRATION
- Affects all drugs and metabolites of appropriate molecular size.
- Influenced by protein binding
  \[
  \text{Drug Filtration Rate} = \text{GFR} \times f_u \times [\text{Drug}]
  \]
  \(f_u = \text{free fraction}\)

RENAL TUBULAR SECRETION
- Not influenced by protein binding
- May be affected by other drugs, etc.

EXAMPLES:
- Active Drugs: ACIDS – Penicillin
  BASES – Procainamide
- Metabolites: Glucuronides, Hippurates, etc.
Renal REABSORPTION Mechanisms

REABSORPTION BY NON-IONIC DIFFUSION

- Affects *weak acids* and *weak bases*.
- Only important if excretion of *free drug* is major elimination pathway.

**EXAMPLES:**
- Weak Acids: PHENOBARBITAL
- Weak Bases: QUINIDINE

ACTIVE REABSORPTION

- Affects *ions*, not proved for other drugs.

**EXAMPLES:**
- Halides: FLUORIDE, BROMIDE
- Alkaline Metals: LITHIUM
RESTRICTIVE VS. NONRESTRICTIVE ELIMINATION

RESTRICTIVE:
Clearance *DEPENDS* on Protein Binding.

KIDNEY: \( CL = f_U \times GFR \)
LIVER: \( CL = f_U \times Cl_{int} \)

NONRESTRICTIVE:
Clearance *INDEPENDENT* of Protein Binding

KIDNEY: \( CL = Q \) (renal blood flow)

*EXAMPLE*: PARA-AMINOHIPPURATE CLEARANCE MEASURES RENAL BLOOD FLOW.
RESTRICTIVE VS. NONRESTRICTIVE ELIMINATION

RESTRICTIVE:
Clearance *DEPENDS* on Protein Binding
KIDNEY: $CL = f_U \cdot GFR$
LIVER: $CL = f_U \cdot Cl_{int}$

NONRESTRICTIVE:
Clearance *INDEPENDENT* of Protein Binding
KIDNEY: $CL = Q$ (renal blood flow)
LIVER: $CL = Q$ (hepatic blood flow)
Assessment of Renal Function

In adults with stable renal function:
Cockcroft and Gault equation (estimates creatinine clearance).
Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study equation (estimates GFR).
CKD-Epidemiology Collaboration Equation (estimates GFR).
RENAL CLEARANCE EQUATION

\[ \text{CL} = \frac{U \times V}{P} \]

- \( U \) = URINE CONCENTRATION
- \( V \) = URINE VOLUME / TIME
- \( P \) = PLASMA CONCENTRATION
**COCKCROFT & GAULT EQUATION**

\[
\text{CL}_{\text{Cr}} = \frac{(140 - \text{age})(\text{weight in kg})}{72 (\text{serum Cr in mg/dL})}
\]

[reduce estimate by 15% for women]

COCKCROFT & GAULT EQUATION

\[
CL_{Cr} = \frac{I}{P}
\]

\[
CL_{Cr} = \frac{(140 - \text{age}) \times \text{(weight in kg)}}{72 \times \text{(serum Cr in mg/dL)}}
\]

[reduce estimate by 15% for women]

Terms in red estimate creatinine synthesis rate.
Assessment of Renal Function

- Cockcroft-Gault equation:
  - Creatinine Clearance: ml/min

- MDRD Study equation:
  - eGFR: ml/min/1.73 meter square*

*Numeric value for GFR<60 ml/min/m2
Estimation of GFR - MDRD

• The MDRD equation* estimates GFR from serum creatinine and is *more accurate* in reference to the (125)I-iothalamate standard.

• Based on *CKD population*, using *standardized* creatinine assays* (traceable to IDMS reference measurement) that reduce variability between laboratories.

• However, it tends to underestimate high GFRs and may also overestimates low GFRs.

*MDRD 4 parameter equation

Estimation of GFR–CKD-EPI

- The CKD-Epidemiology Collaboration proposed a new equation: CKD-EPI (same variables as the 4 parameter MDRD).
- Accurate at GFR > 60 ml/min/1.73m²
- Normal and CKD subject population

GFR Estimating Equations

Stevens LA, et al.
Advances in GFR-estimating equations
*Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2010;19:298-307

Schwartz GJ, et al.
New equations to estimate GFR in children with CKD
Renal Clearance of Drugs

• Generally, there is a linear correlation between the clearance of creatinine and the clearance of drugs excreted via the kidneys.

• We take advantage of this correlation when making dose adjustments in patients with impaired renal function.
RENAL EXCRETION OF DRUGS

INTACT NEPHRON HYPOTHESIS: Provides a basis for dose adjustment when renal excretion of drug is impaired.

• Regardless of mechanism, renal drug elimination declines in parallel with decreases in GFR.
• Therefore, $\text{CL}_{\text{Cr}}$ can be used to assess impact of renal impairment on renal excretion of drugs.

WHAT ABOUT OTHER EXCRETION ROUTES?
ADDITIVITY OF CLEARANCES

\[ \text{CL}_E = \text{CL}_R + \text{CL}_{NR} \]

\( \text{CL}_R \) = RENAL CLEARANCE

\( \text{CL}_{NR} \) = NON-RENAL CLEARANCE
Dettli Approach*

\[ \text{CL}_R = \alpha \text{CL}_{Cr} \]

\[ \text{CL}_E = \text{CL}_R + \text{CL}_{NR} \]

* Dettli L. Med Clin North Am 1974;58:977-85
Key ASSUMPTIONS of Dettli Method

- $\text{CL}_{\text{NR}}$ remains $\text{CONSTANT}$ when renal function is impaired.
- $\text{CL}_R$ declines in $\text{LINEAR FASHION}$ with $\text{CL}_{\text{CR}}$
  - Intact Nephron Hypothesis
  - Some drugs $\downarrow$ $\text{SECRETION} > \text{GFR}$ with aging*

NOMOGRAM for CIMETIDINE DOSING*

A 67-year-old veteran had been *functionally anephric*, requiring outpatient *hemodialysis* for several years. He was hospitalized for revision of his arteriovenous shunt and postoperatively complained of symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux. This complaint prompted institution of *cimetidine* therapy in a dose of 300 mg every 6 hours.
CIMETIDINE Case History (cont.)

Rationale for Prescribed Cimetidine Dose:

At that time, 600 mg every 6 hours was the usual cimetidine dose for patients with normal renal function and the Physician’s Desk Reference recommended halving the cimetidine dose for patients “with creatinine clearance less than 30 ml/min”.

CIMETIDINE Case History (cont.)

Three days later the patient was noted to be confused. The nephrology team reevaluated the patient and agreed to discontinue cimetidine as suggested by the attending internist/clinical pharmacologist. Two days later the patient was alert and was discharged from the hospital to resume outpatient hemodialysis therapy.
LABELING FOR CIMETIDINE*

- **DOSAGE ADJUSTMENT**
  1/2 normal dose if $\text{CL}_{\text{Cr}} < 30 \text{ mL/min}$

- **PHARMACOKINETICS**
  Following I.V. or I.M. administration in *normal subjects*,
  $\sim 75\%$ of drug is recovered from the urine as *parent compound*.

NOMOGRAM FOR CIMETIDINE DOSING*

\[ \text{CL}_E \approx 25\% \text{ OF NORMAL IF FUNCTIONALLY ANEPRHIC} \]

DOSE ADJUSTMENT OPTIONS FOR PATIENTS WITH RENAL IMPAIRMENT

\[ \bar{C}_{SS} = \frac{\text{DOSE}/\tau}{\text{CL}_E} \]

- MAINTAIN USUAL DOSING INTERVAL BUT REDUCE DOSE IN PROPORTION TO ↓\text{CL}_E
- MAINTAIN USUAL DOSE BUT INCREASE DOSING INTERVAL IN PROPORTION TO ↓\text{CL}_E
- ADJUST BOTH DOSE AND DOSING INTERVAL
Pharmacokinetics in Renal Disease

• EFFECT OF RENAL DISEASE ON DRUG METABOLISM and TRANSPORT
CRF – Effects on Drug Metabolism and Transport

TD Nolin, J Naud, FA Leblond, V Pichette
Emerging Evidence of the Impact of Kidney Disease on Drug Metabolism and Transport
CRF – Effects on Drug Metabolism and Transport

AW Dreisbach

The influence of chronic renal failure on drug metabolism and transport. 

*Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 2009;86:553-556*
### Effect of CRF on Non-Renal Drug Clearance in Humans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>$\text{CL}_{\text{NR}}$ (%)</th>
<th>Enzyme</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Captopril</td>
<td>- 50</td>
<td>TPMT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>- 40</td>
<td>UGT2B7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procainamide</td>
<td>- 60</td>
<td>NAT-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verapamil</td>
<td>- 54</td>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metoclopramide</td>
<td>- 66</td>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warfarin</td>
<td>- 50</td>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Effect of CRF on Drug Transport

Impaired transport function in renal failure (intestine, liver, kidney)

• P-Glycoprotein
• Organic Anion Transporting Polypeptide (OATP)

*Fexofenadine is a substrate for both*
Effect of CRF on Bioavailability

Studies in human subjects:

- Propranolol: +300% CYP2D6
- Erythromycin: +100% CYP3A4
- Propoxyphene: +100% CYP3A4
- Dydrocodeine: +70% CYP2D6
Effects of Uremic Toxins

- Indoxyl sulfate
- CMPF-propanoic acid
- Parathyroid hormone (PTH)
- Cytokines (chronic inflammation)

Inhibition of drug metabolism and transport reversed by hemodialysis
Effects of Hemodialysis

Advanced CRF:
Stage IV (GFR 15-29 ml/min)
Stage V (GFR 0-15 ml/min)

Hemodialysis may reverse the inhibition of drug metabolizing enzymes and transporters
PROCAINAMIDE ACETYLYATION

NAT2: FAST VS. SLOW

RENAL ELIMINATION
NORMAL 50%

N-ACETYLPROCAINAMIDE (NAPA)
Procainamide Kinetics in **DIALYSIS PATIENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>NORMALS</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>FUNCTIONALLY ANEPRHIC PATIENTS</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Fast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1/2}$ (hr)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CL_E$ (L/kg)</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CL_R$ (L/kg)</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$CL_{NR}$ (L/kg)</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_d(ss)$ (L/kg)</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procainamide Dosing Nomogram
(*FAST ACETYLATORS*)

\[ \text{CL}_E = \text{CL}_R + \text{CL}_\text{NR} \]
NAPA ELIMINATION HALF LIFE IN FUNCTIONALLY ANEPRHIC PATIENTS

• HEALTHY SUBJECTS: 6.2 hr

• PREDICTED for DIALYSIS PATIENTS: 42.8 hr *

• MEASURED in DIALYSIS PATIENTS: 41.9 hr *

* See Study Problem at end of Chapter 5.
Effect of Renal Disease on 
BINDING TO PLASMA PROTEINS*

*BASIC OR NEUTRAL DRUGS:* NORMAL OR
SLIGHTLY REDUCED

*ACIDIC DRUGS:* REDUCED FOR MOST

Effect of Renal Disease on
PHENYTOIN PROTEIN BINDING
### PHENYTOIN
**KINETICS IN DIALYSIS PATIENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normals (N = 4)</th>
<th>Uremic Patients (N = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% Unbound (f_u)</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL_H</td>
<td>2.46 L/hr</td>
<td>7.63 L/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL_int</td>
<td>20.5 L/hr</td>
<td>29.3 L/hr NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
CL_H = f_u \cdot CL_{int}, \quad \text{So: } CL_{int} = \frac{CL_H}{f_u}
\]

INTRINSIC CLEARANCE

**INTRINSIC CLEARANCE** is the elimination clearance that would be observed in the absence of any protein binding restrictions.
PHENYTOIN HYDROXYLATION BY P450

CYP2C9: Major, CYP2C19: Minor
RESTRICTIVELY Metabolized Drugs:
Effect of PROTEIN BINDING Changes

\[ \bar{C}_{ss} = \frac{DOSE \cdot \tau}{CL_H} \]

FOR RESTRICTIVELY ELIMINATED DRUGS:

\[ CL_H = f_u \cdot CL_{int} \]

FREE CONC. = \( \bar{C}_{ss} \cdot f_u = \frac{f_u \cdot DOSE \cdot \tau}{f_u \cdot CL_{int}} \)
FREE AND TOTAL PHENYTOIN LEVELS (DOSE = 300 MG/DAY)

[Diagram showing the relationship between [PHENYTOIN] μg/mL and renal function.]

CL_H ↑
CL_INT =

- Orange: BOUND [PHENYTOIN]
- Red: FREE [PHENYTOIN]
RISK is that TOTAL levels below the usual range of 10 – 20 μg/mL will prompt inappropriate dose adjustment in dialysis patients.

THERAPEUTIC RANGE FOR DIALYSIS PTS:

Based on “Total Levels”: 5 - 10 μg/mL
Based on “Free Levels”: 0.8 - 1.6 μg/mL
GOALS of Renal Disease Effects Lecture

- EFFECT OF RENAL DISEASE ON DRUG DISTRIBUTION
  - PLASMA PROTEIN BINDING
    *EXAMPLE: PHENYTOIN*
  - TISSUE BINDING
    *EXAMPLE: DIGOXIN*
Effect of Binding Changes on APPARENT DISTRIBUTION VOLUME*

\[ V_d = ECF + \phi f_u (TBW - ECF) \]

Φ = TISSUE/PLASMA PARTITION RATIO

\( f_u \) = FRACTION NOT BOUND TO PLASMA PROTEINS

FOR PHENYTOIN: Φ = 10.4

**PHENYTOIN DISTRIBUTION IN DIALYSIS PATIENTS***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NORMALS</th>
<th>UREMIC PATIENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% UNBOUND ($f_u$)</td>
<td>12% †</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{d(\text{AREA})}$</td>
<td>0.64 L/kg</td>
<td>1.40 L/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Usual Value in Normal Subjects ~ 9%

IMPAIRED RENAL FUNCTION REDUCES DIGOXIN DISTRIBUTION VOLUME*

\[ V_d = 3.84 \cdot \text{wt (kg)} + 3.12 \text{ CL}_{\text{Cr}} \text{(mL/min)} \]

**EFFECT OF RENAL DISEASE ON D-XYLOSE ABSORPTION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PATIENT GROUP</th>
<th>$k_a$ (hr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_o$ (hr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>% DOSE ABSORBED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NORMALS</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.35</td>
<td>69.4 ± 13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERATE</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.15</td>
<td>77.4 ± 14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIALYSIS</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.42</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.61</td>
<td>48.6 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CRITERIA FOR NORMAL ABSORPTION OF 25 GRAM D-XYLOSE DOSE**

- **5-hr URINE RECOVERY** > 4 g
- **[SERUM] 1 hr AFTER DOSE** ≥ 0.2 mg/mL
- **% DOSE ABSORBED** > 42%
- **$k_a$** > 0.37 hr$^{-1}$
FUROSEMIDE
BIOPHARMACEUTIC CLASSIFICATION of FURUSEMIDE*

BIOPHARMACEUTIC DRUG CLASSIFICATION
of Furosemide *

CLASS IV:
LOW SOLUBILITY-LOW PERMEABILITY

- in vitro – in vivo correlation poor
- good bioavailability not expected

Biopharmaceuticals Classification System (BCS)

- Class I (high S, high P)  
  *Enzyme effects* predominate

- Class II (low S, high P)  
  *Both* enzymes and transporters

- Class III (high S, low P)  
  *Transporter effects* predominate

FDA GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY


AVAILABLE AT:
http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm
BASIC “FULL” STUDY DESIGN

Elimination Clearance (mL/min)

CL_{CR} (mL/min)

ESRD
SEVERE
MOD
MILD
NORMAL

RENAL CLEARANCE
NONRENAL CLEARANCE
FDA GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY

• A revision of this guidance document is currently under way (initiated in 2008).
• A concept paper/draft guidance has been posted by the FDA regarding revised recommendations for PK studies in patients with impaired renal function. (March 2010-Revision 1)

US FDA Perspective:
S-M Huang, R Temple, S Xiao, L Zhang, LJ Lesko